

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/724,518 Examiner WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD	Applicant(s) WILLIAMS ET AL. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 2145</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 2145	
Art Unit 2145				

All Participants:

(1) WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD

(2) John Bacoch (Applicant Rep)

Date of Interview: 17 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1400

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

storage media and delay (wait time)

Claims discussed:

10-11, 18-19

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed possible amendments to claims 10 and 18-19, adding storage to computer readable media.

Discussed adding similar statement of claim 13 to claims 11 and 18.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jason D Cardone/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145
/William J Goodchild/
Examiner, Art Unit 2145

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)